

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,196	05/06/2004	Kelly D. Bailey	08220/0200671-US0	7258
7278 DARBY & DA	10/840,196 05/06/2004 Kelly D. Bailey	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station		SAUNDERS JR, JOSEPH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/840,196	BAILEY, KELLY D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,	Joseph Saunders	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	N V IC CET TO EVDIDE 2 M	ONTH(S) OF THIRTY (20) DAVS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a read will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	May 2004.				
,—	. –				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement				
ordinition and	or creation requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 May 2004</u> is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		• • •			
,—		- Cilico / Cilio i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	nto have been received				
 Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume 		nnlication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	·				
application from the International Bure	•	Toolived in this Hallonar Glage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , ,	received.			
Attachment(s)	_	·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application			

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

This is the initial office action based on the communications filed May 6, 2004.
 Claims 1 – 26 are currently pending and considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 26 recites "A carrier wave signal that includes data for performing actions, comprising:...", however, in reference to the Specification on page 8 lines 7 – 16 it is stated that the instructions are encoded using a carrier wave signal and is communicated over a network. Therefore, claim 26 is not statutory because communicating instructions via a network is performed using a signal, which is a nonstatutory natural phenomena. Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as a frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per se, and as such are nonstatutory natural phenomena. O'Reilly, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14. Moreover, it does not appear that a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in § 101. First, a claimed signal is clearly not a "process" under § 101 because it is not a series of steps. The other three § 101 classes of machine, compositions of matter and manufactures "relate to structural

entities and can be grouped as 'product' claims in order to contrast them with process claims." 1 D. Chisum, Patents § 1.02 (1994). The three product classes have traditionally required physical structure or material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 13, 16, 21, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawamoto (US 6,361,439 B1), hereinafter <u>Kawamoto</u>.

Claim 1: Kawamoto discloses a method for providing spatial sound data associated with an object in a scene for a virtual environment, comprising: determining at least one of position, distance and direction for the object in regard to a point of view in the scene (Figure 2 Step 2); recording spatial sound data in at least two channels of an audio file associated with the object, wherein the recorded spatial sound data is based at least in part on at least one of position, distance, and direction of the object in regard to the point of view in the scene (Figure 2 Step 2 and Figure 3); and playing the spatial sound data in at least one of the at least two channels of the audio file associated with the object, wherein the playing of the spatial sound data simulates sound associated with the object from the point of view in the scene (Figure 2 Step 4).

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

Claim 2: <u>Kawamoto</u> discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the point of view is at least one of a character in the scene, a third person perspective, and another character in the scene ("listening position in virtual game space," Column 2 Lines 1 – 8).

Claim 13: <u>Kawamoto</u> discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the virtual environment is at least one of a video game, chat room, and a virtual world ("game", Kawamoto).

Claim 16: Kawamoto discloses a server (game machine) for enabling the playing of spatial sound data associated with an object in a scene in a virtual environment (Figure 1), comprising: a memory (audio data memory unit 3) for storing data; and an audio engine (main controller 1) for performing actions, including: enabling the determining of at least one of position, distance and direction for the object based at least in part on a point of view in the scene and a type of the object (Figure 2 Step 2); enabling the recording of spatial sound data in at least two channels of an audio file associated with the object, wherein the recorded spatial sound data is based at least in part on at least one of position, distance, and direction of the object; (Figure 2 Step 2 and Figure 3) and enabling the playing of the spatial sound data in at least one of the at least two channels of the audio file associated with the object, wherein the playing of the spatial sound data simulates sound associated with the object from the point of view in the scene (Figure 2 Step 4).

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

Claim 21: Claim 21 is substantially similar in scope to claim 16 and therefore rejected on the same grounds.

Claim 26: Claim 26 is substantially similar in scope to claims 16 and 21 and therefore rejected on the same grounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3 5 and 14, 17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kawamoto</u> in view of Nakagawa (US 6,760,050 B1), hereinafter Nakagawa.
- Claim 3: Kawamoto discloses the method of claim 1, but does not disclose the method further comprising determining a type of the object based at least in part on the point of view in the scene. Nakagawa discloses a method of producing sound in a virtual environment and discloses determining the type of object based in part on the coordinates and then uses the type, for example a sound-reflecting object or wall, and the coordinates to generate the appropriate sound data (Figure 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

incorporate the steps taught by <u>Nakagawa</u> into the invention of <u>Kawamoto</u> thereby allowing for sounds particular to a respective object to be audible from prescribed positions and from prescribed directions (Column 14 Lines 48 – 64).

Claim 4: <u>Kawamoto</u> and <u>Nakagawa</u> disclose the method of claim 3, wherein if the type of the object is at least one of stationary and slow moving ("wall", <u>Nakagawa</u> Figure 3), further comprising recording spatial near sound data in one channel of the audio file and recording spatial far sound data in another channel of the audio file (<u>Kawamoto</u> Figure 3).

Claim 5: <u>Kawamoto</u> and <u>Nakagawa</u> disclose the method of claim 4, further comprising employing a low pass filter to generate spatial far sound data and employing a high pass filter to generate spatial near sound data (In Column 1 Lines 60 – 66, <u>Kawamoto</u> discloses attenuating high frequencies and amplifying low frequencies using a digital signal processor, therefore it is inherent that the digital signal processor employs the low and high pass filters necessary to achieve the aforementioned process).

Claim 14: <u>Kawamoto</u> discloses a method for recording spatial data for sound associated with an object in a scene for a virtual environment, comprising: determining at least one of position distance and direction for the object in regard to the point of view in the scene (Figure 2 Step 2); recording spatial sound data in at least two channels of an audio file associated with the object, wherein the recorded spatial sound data is

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

based at least in part on at least one of position, distance, and direction of the object in regard to the point of view in the scene (Figure 2 Step 2 and Figure 3). Kayamoto does not disclose determining a type of the object based at least in part on a point of view in the scene. Nakagawa discloses a method of producing sound in a virtual environment and discloses determining the type of object based in part on the coordinates and then uses the type, for example a sound-reflecting object or wall, and the coordinates to generate the appropriate sound data (Figure 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the steps taught by Nakagawa into the invention of Kawamoto thereby allowing for sounds particular to a respective object to be audible from prescribed positions and from prescribed directions (Column 14 Lines 48 – 64).

Claims 17 and 22: Claims 17 and 22 are substantially similar in scope to claim 4 and therefore are rejected on the same grounds.

7. Claims 6 – 8, 18, 19, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kawamoto</u> and <u>Nakagawa</u> in view of Redmann et al. (US 5,633,993), hereinafter <u>Redmann</u>.

Claim 6: <u>Kawamoto</u> and <u>Nakagawa</u> disclose the method of claim 3, determining the type of the object (<u>Nakagawa</u> Figure 3), further comprising recording spatial sound data in different channels of an audio file (<u>Kawamoto</u> Figure 3). <u>Kawamoto</u> and <u>Nakagawa</u> do

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

not discloses that if the type of the object is directional, recording spatial forward sound data in one channel of the audio file and recording spatial rearward sound data in another channel of the audio file. Redmann discloses another method of processing sound in a virtual world and teaches, "Preferably, master controller 102 is provided with the capability to cross-fade a sound from an input channel of spatial sound processor 112 to one of the non-localized channels, and vice versa. This extends the dynamic allocation capabilities of the master controller to enable the transfer of a long, continuous sound (e.g., airplane engine noise) from the background to a localized position as the control program deems the sound to rise in priority (based, for example, on the proximity of the sound source to the viewer or the importance of the sound to the creation of a 3-D illusion). The reverse process is useful for freeing a spatial sound processor channel as a sound's priority diminishes," Column 9 Lines 13 – 26. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the technique disclosed by Redmann of cross-fading in the invention of Kawamoto and Nakagawa thereby allowing for realistic Doppler effects.

Claim 7: Kawamoto, Nakagawa, and Redmann disclose the method of claim 3, wherein if the type of the object is fast moving (e.g., airplane engine noise), further comprising employing the distance, position and direction of the object in regard to the point of view ("proximity") (Redmann Column 9 Lines 13 – 26) to record spatial approaching sound data in one channel of the audio file and record spatial rearward sound data in another channel of the audio file (Kawamoto Figure 3).

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

Claim 8: <u>Kawamoto</u>, <u>Nakagawa</u>, and <u>Redmann</u> disclose the method of claim 7, wherein the spatial approaching sound data is played in one sound amplification device and the spatial rearward sound data is played in another sound amplification device ("headphone amplifier 130 and amplifier 118, <u>Redmann</u> Figure 1).

Claims 18 – 19 and 23 – 24: Claims 18 – 19 and 23 – 24 are substantially similar in scope to claims 6 and 7 and therefore are rejected on the same grounds.

8. Claims 9 – 12, 15, 20, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kawamoto</u> in view of <u>Redmann</u>.

Claim 9: Kawamoto discloses the method of claim 1, but does not disclose the method further comprising mixing the spatial sound data in the at least two channels of the audio file based at least in part on distance, position and direction of the object in regard to at least in part the point of view and a type of the object. Redmann discloses another method of processing sound in a virtual world and teaches, "Preferably, master controller 102 is provided with the capability to cross-fade a sound from an input channel of spatial sound processor 112 to one of the non-localized channels, and vice versa. This extends the dynamic allocation capabilities of the master controller to enable the transfer of a long, continuous sound (e.g., airplane engine noise) from the background to a localized position as the control program deems the sound to rise in

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

priority (based, for example, on the proximity of the sound source to the viewer or the importance of the sound to the creation of a 3-D illusion). The reverse process is useful for freeing a spatial sound processor channel as a sound's priority diminishes," Column 9 Lines 13 – 26. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the technique disclosed by <u>Redmann</u> of crossfading in the invention of <u>Kawamoto</u> and <u>Nakagawa</u> thereby allowing for realistic Doppler effects.

Claim 10: Kawamoto and Redmann disclose the method of claim 9, but do not disclose wherein the mixing further comprises performing at least one of linear mixing, parametric mixing, and spectrum analyzer mixing. The office takes official notice that it is well known in the art that when mixing or cross-fading sounds as disclosed by Redmann to use parametric equalizers during the mixing process to provide the benefit of boosting or cutting lows or highs during the mix. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use this type of mixing setup in the system of Kawamoto and Redmann to thereby achieve the effect of attenuating high frequencies and amplifying low frequencies using a digital signal processor as disclosed by Kawamoto in Column 1 Lines 60 – 66.

Claim 11: <u>Kawamoto</u> and <u>Redmann</u> disclose the method of claim 9, wherein the mixing further comprises performing at least one of cross fading and blending of the at least two channels of the audio file ("cross-fade", <u>Redmann</u> Column 9 Lines 13 – 26).

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

Claim 12: Kawamoto discloses the method of claim 1, but is silent as to the type of audio file and therefore does not disclose wherein the audio file further includes a format of at least one of Windows Audio Video (WAV), Audio Interchange File Format (AIFF), MPEG (MPX), Sun Audio (AU), Real Networks (RN), Musical Instrument Digital Interface (MIDI), QuickTime Movie (QTM), and AC3. Redmann discloses another method of processing sound in a virtual world and teaches the use of MIDI (Column 5 Lines 50 – 64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the MIDI file format as disclosed by Redmann in the invention of Kawamoto since MIDI was well known in the art at the time and offers the benefits of standardized format.

Claim 15: Kawamoto discloses a method for playing spatial sound data associated with an object in a scene for a virtual environment, comprising: recording sound data in at least two channels of an audio file based at least in part on distance, position and direction of an object in regard to a point of view in the scene (Figure 2 Step 2 and Figure 3); and playing the spatial sound data in at least one of the at least two channels of the audio file associated with the object, wherein the playing of the spatial sound data is based at least in part on distance, position and direction of the object in regard to the point of view in the scene, and wherein the playing of the spatial sound data enables the simulation of sound associated with the object from the point of view in the scene (Figure 2 Step 4). Kawamoto does not disclose mixing spatial sound. Redmann

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

discloses another method of processing sound in a virtual world and teaches,

"Preferably, master controller 102 is provided with the capability to cross-fade a sound from an input channel of spatial sound processor 112 to one of the non-localized channels, and vice versa. This extends the dynamic allocation capabilities of the master controller to enable the transfer of a long, continuous sound (e.g., airplane engine noise) from the background to a localized position as the control program deems the sound to rise in priority (based, for example, on the proximity of the sound source to the viewer or the importance of the sound to the creation of a 3-D illusion). The reverse process is useful for freeing a spatial sound processor channel as a sound's priority diminishes," Column 9 Lines 13 – 26. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the technique disclosed by Redmann of cross-fading in the invention of Kawamoto and Nakagawa thereby allowing for realistic Doppler effects.

Claims 20 and 25: Claims 20 and 25 are substantially similar in scope to claims 9 and therefore are rejected on the same grounds.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Saunders whose telephone number is (571) 270-1063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m., EST.

10/840,196 Art Unit: 2615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS

November 10, 2007

SINHTRAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER